

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/056,417		01/24/2002	Kevin Tingey	P-5243	5243 8066		
26253	7590	04/15/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
BECTON, 1 BECTON		ISON AND COM	IPANY	MCAVOY, ELLEN M			
		NJ 07417-1880		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				1764			
				DATE MAILED: 04/15/2004	‡		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/056,417	TINGEY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ellen M McAvoy	1764	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a rep y within the statutory minimum of thirty (vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH , cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this committed the control of the committed that the control of the committed that the control of the	unication.
Status			
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☒ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matter		erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 24 January 2002 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objo drawing(s) be held in abeyance ion is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in App ity documents have been re I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s)			
) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) P) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) © ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/19/2003.		nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152	<u>?</u>)

Application/Control Number: 10/056,417

Art Unit: 1764

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 4-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Igarashi et al (EP 716,834 A1).

Igarashi et al ["Igarashi"] disclose a stent for intracorporeal retention which has a hollow cylindrical body and an internal surface which may be processed by chemical deposition of polyparaxylylene or a derivative thereof, or a fluorine based resin. See the claims. The examiner is of the position that Igarashi meets the limitations of the above rejected claims when the medical device is a stent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldhardt et al (WO 95/04564) and Ragheb et al (WO 00/10622), considered separately.

Goldhardt et al ["Goldhardt"] disclose an external feeding device which may be coated on both the outer and inner surfaces by a poly(p-xylene) polymer (parylene). See page 6, last paragraph. Goldhardt teaches that the poly(p-xylene) polymers are well-known and that coating onto an outer and/or inner wall of a tubular member may be carried out by a vapor deposition process. See page 7, line 1 to page 8, top. Although a thickness of the lay is not set forth by the

Application/Control Number: 10/056,417

Art Unit: 1764

prior art, Goldhardt teaches that the coating thickness is relatively uniform with excellent conformity. Goldhardt also teaches that parylene has been used to coat other medical devices such as orthopedic products to render their materials compatible with body tissue and to serve as a dry film lubricant. Thus, the examiner is of the position that it would be obvious to coat all such medical devices if compatibility with body tissue and lubrication are so desired.

Ragheb et al ["Ragheb"] disclose a coated implantable medical device which comprises a porous layer including a polymer applied by vapor or plasma deposition. The polymer may be a parylene or parylene derivative and the coating thickness is about 5,000 to 250,000 Angstroms (A), which converts to about 0.5 to 25 microns. See page 5, last paragraph, to page 6. Ragheb teaches that "parylene" is a generic name for a known group of polymers based on p-xylylene and made by vapor phase polymerization, and that such parylene coatings applicable by vapor deposition are known for a variety of biomedical uses. Applicants' open-ended claim language "comprising" allows for the addition of other layers or coatings such as those taught in Ragheb.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicants' disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ellen M McAvoy whose telephone number is (571) 272-1451. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-5:00) with alt. Fridays off.

Art Unit: 1764

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1764

Page 4

EMcAvoy April 13, 2004